Federal Acquisition Regulation

11.103 Market acceptance.

- (a) Section 8002(c) of Pub. L. 103–355 provides that, in accordance with agency procedures, the head of an agency may, under appropriate circumstances, require offerors to demonstrate that the items offered—
 - (1) Have either-
- (i) Achieved commercial market acceptance; or
- (ii) Been satisfactorily supplied to an agency under current or recent contracts for the same or similar requirements; and
- (2) Otherwise meet the item description, specifications, or other criteria prescribed in the public notice and solicitation.
- (b) Appropriate circumstances may, for example, include situations where the agency's minimum need is for an item that has a demonstrated reliability, performance or product support record in a specified environment. Use of market acceptance is inappropriate when new or evolving items may meet the agency's needs.
- (c) In developing criteria for demonstrating that an item has achieved commercial market acceptance, the contracting officer shall ensure the criteria in the solicitation—
- (1) Reflect the minimum need of the agency and are reasonably related to the demonstration of an item's acceptability to meet the agency's minimum need;
- (2) Relate to an item's performance and intended use, not an offeror's capability:
- (3) Are supported by market research;
- (4) Include consideration of items supplied satisfactorily under recent or current Government contracts, for the same or similar items; and
- (5) Consider the entire relevant commercial market, including small business concerns.
- (d) Commercial market acceptance shall not be used as a sole criterion to evaluate whether an item meets the Government's requirements.
- (e) When commercial market acceptance is used, the contracting officer shall document the file to—
- (1) Describe the circumstances justifying the use of commercial market acceptance criteria; and

(2) Support the specific criteria being used

11.104 Use of brand name or equal purchase descriptions.

- (a) While the use of performance specifications is preferred to encourage offerors to propose innovative solutions, the use of brand name or equal purchase descriptions may be advantageous under certain circumstances.
- (b) Brand name or equal purchase descriptions must include, in addition to the brand name, a general description of those salient physical, functional, or performance characteristics of the brand name item that an "equal" item must meet to be acceptable for award. Use brand name or equal descriptions when the salient characteristics are firm requirements.

[64 FR 32742, June 17, 1999]

11.105 Items peculiar to one manufacturer.

Agency requirements shall not be written so as to require a particular brand name, product, or a feature of a product, peculiar to one manufacturer, thereby precluding consideration of a product manufactured by another company, unless—

- (a) The particular brand name, product, or feature is essential to the Government's requirements, and market research indicates other companies' similar products, or products lacking the particular feature, do not meet, or cannot be modified to meet, the agency's minimum needs;
- (b) The authority to contract without providing for full and open competition is supported by the required justifications and approvals (see 6.302–1); and
- (c) The basis for not providing for maximum practicable competition is documented in the file when the acquisition is awarded using simplified acquisition procedures.

[60 FR 48238, Sept. 18, 1995, as amended at 61 FR 39192, July 26, 1996; 62 FR 263, Jan. 2, 1997; 62 FR 10710, Mar. 10, 1997. Redesignated and amended at 64 FR 32742, June 17, 1999]